Examination Conventions for History, Ancient and Modern History, History and Economics, History and English, History and Politics in the Final Honour School of 2023 (papers examined in year 3) History and Modern Languages in the Final Honour School of 2023 (papers examined in year 4)

1 Introduction

These conventions have been approved by the Board of the Faculty of History and the Boards of the Faculties of the other parent schools in the case of joint schools with History, with respect to timed exam papers and submitted written work in History. Examination conventions are the formal record of the specific assessment standards for the course or courses to which they apply. They set out how examined work will be marked and how the resulting marks will be used to arrive at a final result and classification of an award.

2. Rubrics for Individual Papers

A. History and all Joint Schools with History

The following papers will be examined by live, in-person 3 hour timed examination at Examination Schools:

	EUROPEAN AND WORLD HISTORY	
	PAPERS	
	European and World History 1: The	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A16408W1	World of Late Antiquity, 250-650	COMPLETE all their answers.
	European and World History 2: The	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A16409W1	Early Medieval World, 600-1000	COMPLETE all their answers.
		Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
		COMPLETE all their answers. You may answer with
	European and World History 3: The	reference to any medieval society or part of the
A16410W1	Central Middle Ages, 900-1300	period.
	European and World History 4: The	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A16411W1	Global Middle Ages, 500-1500	COMPLETE all their answers.
	European and World History 5: The	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A16412W1	Late Medieval World, 1300-1525	COMPLETE all their answers.
	European and World History 6: Early	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A16413W1	Modern Europe, 1500-1700	COMPLETE all their answers.
	European and World History 7:	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Eurasian Empires, 1450-1800	COMPLETE all their answers, of which AT LEAST
A16414W1		ONE question should be chosen from Section B
	European and World History 8:	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A16415W1	Enlightenments and Revolutions:	COMPLETE all their answers.

	Europe 1680-1848	
	European and World History 9: From	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Independence to Empire:	COMPLETE all their answers, of which AT LEAST
A16416W1	America 1763-1898	ONE must be chosen from Section C.
WIOHIOAAI		Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A17313W1	European and World History 10: The	COMPLETE all their answers.
A1/313W1	European Century, 1820-1925	
	F	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	European and World History 11:	COMPLETE all their answers, of which AT LEAST
	Imperial and Global History,	ONE must be chosen from Section A and AT LEAST
A16418W1	1750-1930	ONE from Section B.
		Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	European and World History 12: The	COMPLETE all their answers. An asterisk (*) draws
	Making of Modern America	attention to questions which may be answered
A16419W1	since 1863	with reference to any part of the period.
	European and World History 13:	Candidates should COMPLETE ONE answer
	Europe Divided, 1914-1989:	from EACH SECTION .
A16420W1	Crises, Conflicts, Identities	
		Candidates should answer THREE questions
		from AT LEAST TWO sections, ONE OF WHICH
		must be from section C , and complete all their
		answers. Chronological divisions in Sections A and
		B are indicative, not restrictive; candidates may
	European and World History 14: The	answer in either section with regard to the whole
	Global Twentieth Century, 1930-	period covered by the paper where appropriate, if
A16421W1	2003	they wish.
AIU4ZIVVI	European and World History Theme	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	paper A: Masculinity and its	COMPLETE all their answers.
A16422W1	Discontents, 200-2000	COMPLETE all their answers.
A10422VV1	European and World History Theme	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Paper B: Technology and Culture	COMPLETE all their answers.
A16423W1		COMPLETE all their answers.
A10425VV1	in a Global Context, 1000-1700	Condidates revet answer TURES avestions and
	European and World History Theme	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
A 1 C 4 2 4 \ A 1	Paper C: Waging War in Eurasia,	COMPLETE all their answers.
A16424W1	1200-1945	Condidates must appear TURES and the conditions of
	European and World History Theme	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Paper D: Catholicism in the	COMPLETE all their answers.
A46435344	Making of the Modern World,	
A16425W1	1545-1970	
	FURTHER SUBJECTS (in order of paper	
	code)	
A10423W1	Further Subject: Britain at the Movies: Film	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	and National Identity since 1914	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10703W1	Further Subject: The Near East in the Age	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	of Justinian and Muhammad from 527 to	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
	c. 700.	their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
A10703W1	of Justinian and Muhammad from 527 to	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to

		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10704W1	Further Subject: The Carolingian Renaissance	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10706W1	Further Subject: The Crusades	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10707W1	Further Subject: Culture and Society in Early Renaissance Italy from 1290 to 1348	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10708W1	Further Subject: Flanders and Italy in the Quattrocento from 1420 to 1480	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10709W1	Further Subject: The Wars of the Roses, 1450-1500	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10711W1	Further Subject: Literature and Politics in Early Modern England	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10715W1	Further Subject: The Metropolitan Crucible: London 1685-1815	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10717W1	Further Subject: Medicine, Empire and Improvement, 1720 to 1820	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10720W1	Further Subject: Nationalism in Western	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and

	Europe, 1799-1890	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10721W1	Further Subject: Intellect and Culture in	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Victorian Britain	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10722W1	Further Subject: The Authority of Nature:	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Race, Heredity and Crime, 1800-1940	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
440=22::::		Section B.
A10723W1	Further Subject: The Middle East in the	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Age of Empire	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
A10729W1	Further Cubicate Madern Jones from 1969	Section B.
A10/29W1	Further Subject: Modern Japan from 1868 to 1972	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
	10 1972	their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10731W1	Further Subject: Nationalism, Politics and	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
7(207021112	Culture in Ireland c. 1870 to 1921	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10731X1	Further Subject: Nationalism, Politics and	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Culture in Ireland c. 1870 to 1921 (Old	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
	Regulations)	their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10734W1	Further Subject: The Soviet Union, 1924 to	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	1941	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT
		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and
		Section B.
A10735W1	Further Subject: Culture, Politics and	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and
	Identity in Cold War Europe 1945-68	COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate
		their answers, as far as possible, by reference to
		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT

		LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10735X1	Further Subject: Culture, Politics and Identity in Cold War Europe 1945-68 (Old Regulations)	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10736W1	Further Subject: Scholastic and Humanist Political Thought	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10737W1	Further Subject: The Science of Society, 1650 to 1800	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A10738W1	Further Subject: Political Theory and Social Science	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from Section A .
A13392W1	Further Subject: China since 1900	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A13763W1	Further Subject: Post-Colonial Historiography: Writing the (Indian) Nation	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from Section A.
A14402W1	Further Subject: Anglo-Saxon Archaeology c. 600-750: Society and Economy in the Early Christian Period.	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A15060W1	Further Subject: Writing in the Early Modern Period, 1550-1750	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A15982W1	Further Subject: War and Society in Britain and Europe c. 1650-1815	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to

		the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A16405W1	Further Subject: Modern Mexico, 1876- 1994	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A16406W1	Further Subject: The Iberian Global Century, 1550-1650	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A16407W1	Further Subject: A Global War, 1914 to 1920	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A16886W1	Further Subject: Constructing the First New Nation: A Political History of the United States, 1781-1803	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A16887W1	Further Subject: A History of Madness and Mental Healing in a Global Context	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A16888W1	Further Subject: Transformations and Transitions in African History since c. 1800	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A17314W1	Further Subject: The Jews in Poland in the Twentieth Century	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A17327W1	Further Subject: Political and Social Thought in the Age of Enlightenment	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.

A18072W1	Further Subject: Gender and Protestant Cultures in England, 1558-1659	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.
A18073W1	Further Subject: Women's Liberation: Feminism in Britain, c. 1969-1990	Candidates must answer THREE questions, and COMPLETE all their answers. They should illustrate their answers, as far as possible, by reference to the prescribed authorities, and must complete AT LEAST ONE answer from BOTH Section A and Section B.

SPECIAL SUBJECT GOBBETS PAPERS (in order of paper code)

A10740W1	Special Subject: St Augustine and the last	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10742W1	days of Rome, 370-430 Special Subject: Byzantium in the Age of	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10743W1	Constantine Porphyrogenitus, 913-959 Special Subject: The Norman Conquest of	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10746W1	Special Subject: Joan of Arc and her Age, 1419-1435	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10747W1	Special Subject: Painting and Culture in Ming China	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10748W1	Special Subject: Politics, Art and Culture in the Italian Renaissance: Venice and Florence, c. 1475-1525	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10752W1	Special Subject: The Scientific Movement in the Seventeenth Century	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10754W1	Special Subject: English Architecture, 1660-1720	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions. Answers may be accompanied by sketches where appropriate.
A10759W1	Special Subject: Art and its Public in France, 1815-1867	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10763W1	Special Subject: Nazi Germany, a Racial Order, 1933-45	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10764W1	Special Subject: France from the Popular Front to the Liberation, 1936-44	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10765W1	Special Subject: War and Reconstruction, 1939-45	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10766W1	Special Subject: Britain from the Bomb to the Beatles: Gender, Class and Social Change, 1945-67	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A10767W1	Special Subject: The Northern Ireland Troubles, 1965-85	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A13773W1	Special Subject: Revolution and Republic, 1647-1658	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.
A13774W1	Special Subject: Race, Religion and Resistance in the United States, from Jim	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.

	Crow to Civil Rights.		
A13775W1	Special Subject: Britain in the Seventies	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A14634W1	Special Subject: Neoliberalism and Postmodernism: Ideas, Politics and Culture in Europe and North America, 1970-2000	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A14634X1	Special Subject: Neoliberalism and Postmodernism: Ideas, Politics and Culture in Europe and North America, 1970-2000 (Old Regulations)	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A14635W1	Special Subject: Revolutions of 1989	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A14970W1	Special Subject: Terror and Forced Labour in Stalin's Russia	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A15059W1	Special Subject: From Gandhi to the Green Revolution: India, Independence and Modernity, 1939-69	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A15059X1	Special Subject: From Gandhi to the Green Revolution: India, Independence and Modernity, 1939-69 (Old Regulations) Candidates should attempt ALL the questi		
A15591W1	Special Subject: The Peasants' Revolt of Candidates should attempt ALL the questi 1381		
A15592W1	Special Subject: Becoming a Citizen, c. Candidates should attempt ALL the question 1860-1902		
A15593W1	Special Subject: The Thirty Years' War	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A15882W1	Special Subject: The Trial of the Tudor State: Politics, Religion and Society, 1540- 1560	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A15883W1	Special Subject: Imperial Crisis and Reform, 1774-84	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A16331W1	Special Subject: The Peasants' War of 1525	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A16889W1	Special Subject: Empire and Nation in Russia and the USSR, c. 1890-1924	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A16890W1	Special Subject: Pop and the Art of the Sixties	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A16891W1	Special Subject: Race, Sex and Medicine in the Early Atlantic World	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A17312W1	Special Subject: The Crisis of the Reformation: political thought and religious ideas, 1560-1610	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	
A17637W1	Special Subject: On the Road to Baghdad, Candidates should attempt ALL the questions 892-1055		
A17638W1	Special Subject: Slavery, Emancipation and the Crisis of the Union, 1848-1865 Candidates should attempt ALL the questions		
A18074W1	Special Subject: Art and Politics: Class and Power in Chinese Art Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.		
A18075W1	Special Subject: Art and the Invention of Race	Candidates should attempt ALL the questions.	

DISCIPLINES OF HISTORY

A10770W1	Disciplines of History	Candidates should answer TWO questions, ONE
		from EACH section.
A10770X1	Disciplines of History (old regs)	Candidates should answer TWO questions, ONE
		from EACH section.

A. History and all joint schools with History

History of the British Isles [course work to be submitted in year 2 - Candidates will be examined by means of three essays of no more than 2,000 words each, under titles from a question paper published by the examiners on the Wednesday of eighth week of the Trinity Term in the year preceding the final examination. The essays were submitted via Inspera by noon on Friday of ninth week of that term.] Rubric:

Candidates must submit essays in answer to THREE of the questions below. They are encouraged to follow their own interests in the history of this period whether thematically or chronologically. They may also note that the Regulations define the history of the British Isles as 'the history of England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland, and of other territories in so far as they are specifically connected with the History of Britain'.

Questions marked with an asterisk (*) may be answered with reference to any part of the period and any part of such territories. Candidates are also encouraged to display some breadth of knowledge overall, whether thematically, geographically or chronologically.

No essay must exceed 2,000 words, including references, but not including the bibliography; overlength work will be penalized according to the published tariff. References must be in the form published in the Handbook for the Final Honour School of History.

Returning candidates who withdrew from FHS 2021:

History of the British Isles: Candidates must submit a portfolio of three essays based on three tutorial essays. They are encouraged to follow their own interests in the history of this period whether thematically or chronologically, but should not write three new essays or write essays on questions of their own devising. They may also note that the Regulations define the history of the British Isles as 'the history of England, Wales, Scotland and Ireland, and of other territories in so far as they are specifically connected with the History of Britain'.

No essay must exceed 2,000 words, including references, but not including the bibliography; overlength work will be penalized according to the published tariff.

History and all Joint Schools with History except History and Economics:

Special Subject Extended Essays (All): [Course work to be submitted in the final year] Candidates should answer **ONE** question in an essay of no more than 6,000 words (including references but excluding bibliography). A pdf of the completed essay must be submitted digitally via Inspera by 12 noon on Friday 13th January 2023. The essay should be illustrated by reference to the prescribed authorities.

History and all joint schools with History except History and English:

Compulsory Undergraduate Thesis:

[Course work to be submitted in the final year.] A thesis on a topic of the candidate's choosing of not more than 12,000 words (including footnotes, but excluding bibliography and appendices). The thesis should normally include an investigation of relevant printed or unprinted primary historical sources, and must include proper footnotes and a bibliography. The thesis should conform to the standards of academic presentation prescribed in the course handbook. Two copies of the completed thesis must be submitted digitally via Inspera by 12 noon on Friday 10th March 2023.

Optional Additional Thesis:

[Course work to be submitted in the final year.] A thesis on a topic of the candidate's choosing of not more than 12,000 words (including footnotes, but excluding bibliography and appendices). The thesis should normally include an investigation of relevant printed or unprinted primary historical sources, and must include proper footnotes and a bibliography. The thesis should conform to the standards of academic presentation prescribed in the course handbook. A pdf of the completed thesis must be submitted electronically via WebLearn by 12 noon on Monday 24th April 2023 (Monday of week 1 of Trinity Term).

B. History and Ancient and Modern History ONLY

Disciplines of History:

The paper consists of two sections: Section A, Making Historical Comparisons, and Section B, Making Historical Arguments. Candidates must answer two questions, one from each Section. Section A rubric: Candidates must demonstrate sustained and effective comparisons of at least **TWO** case studies drawn from at least **TWO** societies or historical periods. Section B rubric: In answering questions from this section candidates should discuss specific examples of historical writing. They should consider the ways in which historians select and use sources, the methodologies they have employed, and the historiographical context within which they write.

C. History and English ONLY: History and English Bridge Papers:

'A Flame of Fire': reading, reform and salvation in late medieval England

Representing the City 1558-1640

Women's Life Writing: gender and social change, 1870-1930

[Course work to be submitted in year 2] Candidates taking this paper were examined by means of an essay of between 5,000 and 6,000 words (including footnotes but excluding bibliography), on an interdisciplinary topic of the candidates' choosing, relevant to the Bridge Paper concerned. The completed essays were submitted digitally via WebLearn by 12 noon on Friday 29 April 2022.]

History and English ONLY: Compulsory Interdisciplinary Dissertation:

[Course work to be submitted in year 3.] A dissertation on an interdisciplinary topic of the candidate's choosing of not more than 12,000 words (including footnotes, but excluding bibliography and appendices). The dissertation should normally include an investigation of relevant printed or unprinted primary historical and literary sources, and must include proper footnotes and a bibliography. The dissertation should conform to the standards of academic presentation prescribed in the course handbook. A copy of the completed dissertation must be submitted digitally via Inspera by 12 noon on Friday 10th March 2023.

D. History and Modern Languages ONLY

History and Modern Languages Bridge Essay: A bridge essay of between 8,000 and 10,000 words on an interdisciplinary topic, designed to draw together interests and develop skills from both sides of

the course. The limit of 10,000 words includes footnotes, but excludes bibliography, and, in cases for which specific permission has been obtained from the convenor of the joint school, appendices. Candidates must follow the guidelines on word count, presentation, and referencing as outlined in the course handbook.

The candidate will submit a title and short statement of up to fifty words on the manner in which he/she proposes to treat the topic, together with a note from his/her tutor approving the topic, addressed to the convener of the Joint School of History and Modern Languages, c/o the History Faculty, no later than Monday of sixth week of Trinity Term of his/her second year. Titles will be approved by the convener and one other member of the Standing Committee of the Joint School of History and Modern Languages. Notification of whether or not approval is forthcoming will be given by eighth week of Trinity Term.

Changes to the title must be submitted to the convener of the joint school at the latest by the Friday of fourth week of the Hilary Term of the candidate's final year. Notification of whether or not approval is forthcoming will be given no later than sixth week of the Hilary Term of the candidate's final year. Bridge essays on approved titles should be submitted digitally via Inspera, by noon on the Tuesday of ninth week in the Hilary Term preceding the examination. A declaration of authorship will be automatically downloaded with each submission. The certificate declares that (a) the bridge essay is the candidate's own work, (b) that it does not exceed 10,000 words in length (including footnotes but not including bibliography and translations from quotations), (c) that no more than the specified maximum amount of advice and assistance (no more than five hours of preparatory or advisory meetings and/or email consultations) from college or external advisers has been received. In the rare cases when a candidate is dispensed from the requirement to spend a year abroad after their second year, that candidate shall not be required to submit their Bridge Essay until noon on Friday of noughth week of the Trinity Term preceding the examination. Any changes in title for such candidates should be submitted to the convenor of the joint school by the fourth week of Hilary Term of the final year. Notification of whether approval is forthcoming will be given no later than sixth week of Hilary Term of the final year.

A first draft of the bridge essay may be read and commented on, but not corrected in matters of detail and presentation, by the bridge essay adviser.

3. Marking conventions

3.1 University scale for standardized expression of agreed final marks

Agreed final marks for individual papers will be expressed using the following scale:

70-100	First Class
60-69	Upper second
50-59	Lower second
40-49	Third
30-39	Pass
0-29	Fail

3.2 Qualitative criteria for different types of assessment

The following criteria will be used in marking the remote exam question papers in **European and World History**:

Engagement:

- directness of engagement with the question
- · range of issues addressed
- depth, complexity, and sophistication of comprehension of issues and implications of the question
- effective and appropriate use of historical imagination and intellectual curiosity

Argument:

- coherence, control, and independence of argument
- conceptual and analytical precision
- flexibility: discussion of a variety of views

Evidence:

- depth, precision, detail, range and relevance of evidence cited
- accuracy of facts
- understanding of historical debate
- critical engagement with primary and/or secondary sources

Organization & Presentation

- clarity and coherence of structure
- clarity and fluency of prose
- correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation

These criteria inform the following mark-bands:

FHS: I	86-100	Answers will be so outstanding that they could not imaginably be	
Prelim:		better within the time constraints of the exercise. These marks will	
Distinction		be used very rarely, for work that shows remarkable originality and	
		sophistication in putting forward persuasive and well-supported	
		new ideas, or making unexpected connections.	
	80-85	Answers receiving marks in this range will be of consistently	
		excellent quality across all criteria, and will be both distinctive and	
		thought-provoking in their argument and/or approach. Answers	
		will be above and beyond the examiner's expectations of an	
		Oxford finalist.	
	75-79	Answers will be of the highest quality that an examiner might	
		reasonably expect from a candidate within the time constraints	
		inherent in the exercise. Although there may be some limitations	
		in terms of scope and originality relative to responses which	
		receive 80+, answers will be excellent overall, and be characterised	

FHS: II.1	70-74	by sophisticated engagement with the issues, real analytical depth, factual precision and detail, and independence of argument, as well as strong, incisive engagement with evidence and historical debate, and clarity and coherence of presentation. First Class marks should be awarded to answers that are consistently impressive across all criteria, and that show strong knowledge, analytical skills, and judgement indicating a highly able undergraduate historian. Answers in this range will make a clear, detailed, and fully-supported argument, demonstrate nuance, and be thoughtfully constructed. Compared to responses awarded marks of 75+, they may be less imaginative in their handling of the question, less wide-ranging in scope and/or achieve less depth of detail, and be structured in a way which is effective and efficient rather than impressive and incisive. Answers in the upper-II:1 range will be of above average quality
Prelim:Pass		across all criteria, and very clearly so where marks just below 70 are awarded. They must exhibit some essential features: addressing the question directly and relevantly across a good range of issues; offering a clear argument involving consideration of alternative interpretations; and substantiating their argument with accurate use of relevant evidence and contextualization in historical debate, within a structure which has been well thought through. They will, though, fall short of First Class quality because their handling of some of the material is uneven, because the writing loses focus or momentum at times, or because the analysis is good rather than genuinely impressive. Essays which are very competent but which lack distinctive qualities in terms of argument and analysis may be placed at the lower end of this band.
	60-64	Answers which the examiners consider to be of average quality across most criteria should be placed in this band. The candidate must show consistent competence by answering the question, demonstrating sound analytical skills based upon a good level of knowledge, and a discernible level of argument, prioritisation and problematisation. Answers will show many similar characteristics to those of above average quality, but will tend to exhibit less ambition, range, depth, precision, knowledge and perhaps clarity.
FHS: II.2 Prelim:Pass	50-59	Answers toward the top of the II:2 band will be of reasonable quality, showing some specific knowledge and attention to the question that has been asked, and will otherwise be competent across at least some of the criteria. Lower II:2 answers will cover fewer of the criteria, and/or do so less competently. They may talk around the question rather than answering it, or they may seem to be answering a different question than the one set. They will nonetheless exhibit some positive qualities in their presentation of evidence and analysis. Answers will be put in this band if there is limited focus on the specifics of the question, and if there is

	minimal, undeveloped argument or very limited or inaccurate use
	of evidence. Answers may have a 'by numbers' quality, fail to
	identify specific evidence – or misunderstand the evidence in a
	way that is manifest – be either too narrow or too general, or be
	characterised by unsubstantiated assertion rather than argument
	based on evidence. Answers which are too short or written in a
	very unclear way are also likely to fall into this band.
40-49	Answers will cover only some of the criteria, and/or will do so only
	very partially, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities
	required, such as the ability to see the point of the question, to
	deploy information, or to offer some elements of an argument.
	Such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently,
	and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor
	organization and presentation.
30-39	These marks will be used very rarely, for scripts that display almost
	no knowledge or understanding of the salient issues and which fail
	to cover any of the criteria. They will be marred by high levels of
	factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of
	information, and poor organization and presentation.
<30	Scripts will fail to exhibit any of the required qualities.
	Candidates who fail to observe rubrics and rules beyond what the
	marking-schemes allow for may also be failed.
	30-39

The following criteria will be used in marking the remote exam question papers in **Disciplines of History:**

Engagement:

- incisiveness of engagement with the question
- range of issues addressed
- depth and sophistication of comprehension of issues and implications of the question
- historiographical awareness
- directness of answer to the question
- choice of appropriate societies or periods for comparison
- choice of appropriate historical works, schools, or methods for analysis

Argument:

- coherence, control, independence and relevance of argument
- · conceptual and analytical precision
- clarity and sophistication of development of argument
- flexibility: discussion of a variety of views
- sustained and effective comparison of societies or periods to support wider conclusions
- sustained analysis of historical works, schools, or methods that demonstrates an informed understanding of their context, rationale, and significance.

Information:

relevance of deployment of information

- depth, precision and detail of evidence cited
- range of material deployed
- accuracy of facts.

Organization & Presentation

- clarity and coherence of structure
- clarity and fluency of prose
- correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation

These criteria inform the following mark-bands:

FHS: I	86-100	Answers will be so outstanding that they could not imaginably be
Prelim:	80-100	better within the time constraints of the exercise. These marks will
Distinction		
Distinction		be used very rarely, for work that shows remarkable originality and
		sophistication in putting forward persuasive and well-supported
		new ideas, or making unexpected connections.
	80-85	Answers receiving marks in this range will be of consistently
		excellent quality across all criteria, and will be both distinctive and
		thought-provoking in their argument and/or approach. Answers
		will be above and beyond the examiner's expectations of an
		Oxford finalist.
	75-79	Answers will be of the highest quality that an examiner might
		reasonably expect from a candidate within the time constraints
		inherent in the exercise. Although there may be some limitations
		in terms of scope and originality relative to responses which
		receive 80+, answers will be excellent overall, and be characterised
		by sophisticated engagement with the issues, real analytical depth,
		factual precision and detail, and independence of argument, as
		well as strong, incisive engagement with evidence and historical
		debate, and clarity and coherence of presentation.
	70-74	First Class marks should be awarded to answers that are
		consistently impressive across all criteria, and that show strong
		knowledge, analytical skills, and judgement indicating a highly able
		undergraduate historian. Answers in this range will make a clear,
		detailed, and fully-supported argument, demonstrate nuance, and
		be thoughtfully constructed. Compared to responses awarded
		marks of 75+, they may be less imaginative in their handling of the
		question, less wide-ranging in scope and/or achieve less depth of
		detail, and be structured in a way which is effective and efficient
		rather than impressive and incisive.
FHS: II.1	65-69	Answers in the upper-II:1 range will be of above average quality
Prelim:Pass	03-03	across all criteria, and very clearly so where marks just below 70
i i eiiiii.F ass		are awarded. They must exhibit some essential features:
		addressing the question directly and relevantly across a good
		range of issues; offering a clear argument involving consideration
		of alternative interpretations; and substantiating their argument

		with accurate use of relevant evidence and contextualization in historical debate, within a structure which has been well thought
		through. They will, though, fall short of First Class quality because their handling of some of the material is uneven, because the writing loses focus or momentum at times, or because the analysis is good rather than genuinely impressive. Essays which are very competent but which lack distinctive qualities in terms of
		argument and analysis may be placed at the lower end of this band.
	60-64	Answers which the examiners consider to be of average quality across most criteria should be placed in this band. The candidate must show consistent competence by answering the question, demonstrating sound analytical skills based upon a good level of knowledge, and a discernible level of argument, prioritisation and problematisation. Answers will show many similar characteristics to those of above average quality, but will tend to exhibit less ambition, range, depth, precision, knowledge and perhaps clarity.
FHS: II.2 Prelim:Pass	50-59	Answers toward the top of the II:2 band will be of reasonable quality, showing some specific knowledge and attention to the question that has been asked, and will otherwise be competent across at least some of the criteria. Lower II:2 answers will cover fewer of the criteria, and/or do so less competently. They may talk
FIIC. III	40.40	around the question rather than answering it, or they may seem to be answering a different question than the one set. They will nonetheless exhibit some positive qualities in their presentation of evidence and analysis. Answers will be put in this band if there is limited focus on the specifics of the question, and if there is minimal, undeveloped argument or very limited or inaccurate use of evidence. Answers may have a 'by numbers' quality, fail to identify specific evidence — or misunderstand the evidence in a way that is manifest — be either too narrow or too general, or be characterised by unsubstantiated assertion rather than argument based on evidence. Answers which are too short or written in a very unclear way are also likely to fall into this band.
FHS: III Prelim:Pass	40-49	Answers will cover only some of the criteria, and/or will do so only very partially, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities
		required, such as the ability to see the point of the question, to deploy information, or to offer some elements of an argument. Such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently, and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor organization and presentation.
FHS: Pass	30-39	These marks will be used very rarely, for scripts that display almost
Prelim:Fail (Retake)		no knowledge or understanding of the salient issues and which fail to cover any of the criteria. They will be marred by high levels of factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of
		information, and poor organization and presentation.
FHS: Fail	<30	Scripts will fail to exhibit any of the required qualities.

Candidates who fail to observe rubrics and rules beyond what the
marking-schemes allow for may also be failed.

The following criteria will be used in marking the remote exam question papers in Further Subjects:

Engagement:

- directness of engagement with the question
- range of issues addressed
- depth, complexity, and sophistication of comprehension of issues and implications of the question
- effective and appropriate use of historical imagination and intellectual curiosity

Argument:

- coherence, control, and independence of argument
- conceptual and analytical precision
- flexibility: discussion of a variety of views

Evidence:

- depth, precision, detail, range and relevance of evidence cited
- · accuracy of facts
- understanding of historical debate
- critical engagement with primary and/or secondary sources

Organization & Presentation

- clarity and coherence of structure
- clarity and fluency of prose
- correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation

Use of Set Texts

- depth and breadth of engagement with the set texts
- appropriate deployment and understanding of the set texts

The criteria will inform the following mark bands:

FHS: I Prelim: Distinction	86-100	Answers will be so outstanding that they could not imaginably be better within the time constraints of the exercise. These marks will be used very rarely, for work that shows remarkable originality and sophistication in putting forward persuasive and well-supported new ideas, or making unexpected connections.
	80-85	Answers receiving marks in this range will be of consistently excellent quality across all criteria, and will be both distinctive and thought-provoking in their argument and/or approach. Answers will be above and beyond the examiner's expectations of an Oxford finalist.
	75-79	Answers will be of the highest quality that an examiner might reasonably expect from a candidate within the time constraints inherent in the exercise. Although there may be some limitations in terms of scope and originality relative to responses which

		1
	70-74	receive 80+, answers will be excellent overall, and be characterised by sophisticated engagement with the issues, real analytical depth, factual precision and detail, and independence of argument, as well as strong, incisive engagement with evidence and historical debate, and clarity and coherence of presentation. First Class marks should be awarded to answers that are consistently impressive across all criteria, and that show strong
		knowledge, analytical skills, and judgement indicating a highly able undergraduate historian. Answers in this range will make a clear, detailed, and fully-supported argument, demonstrate nuance, and be thoughtfully constructed. Compared to responses awarded marks of 75+, they may be less imaginative in their handling of the question, less wide-ranging in scope and/or achieve less depth of detail, and be structured in a way which is effective and efficient rather than impressive and incisive.
FHS: II.1 Prelim:Pass	65-69	Answers in the upper-II:1 range will be of above average quality across all criteria, and very clearly so where marks just below 70 are awarded. They must exhibit some essential features: addressing the question directly and relevantly across a good range of issues; offering a clear argument involving consideration of alternative interpretations; and substantiating their argument with accurate use of relevant evidence and contextualization in historical debate, within a structure which has been well thought through. They will, though, fall short of First Class quality because their handling of some of the material is uneven, because the writing loses focus or momentum at times, or because the analysis is good rather than genuinely impressive. Essays which are very competent but which lack distinctive qualities in terms of argument and analysis may be placed at the lower end of this band.
	60-64	Answers which the examiners consider to be of average quality across most criteria should be placed in this band. The candidate must show consistent competence by answering the question, demonstrating sound analytical skills based upon a good level of knowledge, and a discernible level of argument, prioritisation and problematisation. Answers will show many similar characteristics to those of above average quality, but will tend to exhibit less ambition, range, depth, precision, knowledge and perhaps clarity.
FHS: II.2 Prelim:Pass	50-59	Answers toward the top of the II:2 band will be of reasonable quality, showing some specific knowledge and attention to the question that has been asked, and will otherwise be competent across at least some of the criteria. Lower II:2 answers will cover fewer of the criteria, and/or do so less competently. They may talk around the question rather than answering it, or they may seem to be answering a different question than the one set. They will nonetheless exhibit some positive qualities in their presentation of evidence and analysis. Answers will be put in this band if there is

		limited focus on the specifics of the question, and if there is
		minimal, undeveloped argument or very limited or inaccurate use
		of evidence. Answers may have a 'by numbers' quality, fail to
		identify specific evidence – or misunderstand the evidence in a
		way that is manifest – be either too narrow or too general, or be
		characterised by unsubstantiated assertion rather than argument
		based on evidence. Answers which are too short or written in a
		very unclear way are also likely to fall into this band.
FHS: III	40-49	Answers will cover only some of the criteria, and/or will do so only
Prelim:Pass		very partially, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities
		required, such as the ability to see the point of the question, to
		deploy information, or to offer some elements of an argument.
		Such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently,
		and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor
		organization and presentation.
FHS: Pass	30-39	These marks will be used very rarely, for scripts that display almost
Prelim:Fail		no knowledge or understanding of the salient issues and which fail
(Retake)		to cover any of the criteria. They will be marred by high levels of
		factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of
		information, and poor organization and presentation.
FHS: Fail	<30	Scripts will fail to exhibit any of the required qualities.
		Candidates who fail to observe rubrics and rules beyond what the
		marking-schemes allow for may also be failed.

The following criteria will be used in marking the remote exam gobbet question papers in **Special Subjects**:

Immediate context of the extract:

- relation of the extract to the wider text from which it is drawn
- representativeness/distinctiveness of the extract within the wider text
- precise meaning or significance of terminology or points of detail
- identification of key individuals, institutions or events

Clarification of the extract:

- authorship, authority, and purpose
- audience
- · contemporaneity or employment of hindsight
- conditions of creation, transmission, reception, and preservation
- genre
- language or visual style

Wider context of the extract:

- relationship between the extract and other set texts
- relevance of the extract to wide historical developments
- relevance of the extract to historiographical debates

Organization & Presentation

- clarity and coherence of structure
- clarity and fluency of prose
- correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation

These criteria inform the following mark-bands:

FHS: I	86-100	Answers will be so outstanding that they could not imaginably be
Prelim:	33 233	better within the time constraints of the exercise. These marks will
Distinction		be used very rarely, for work that shows remarkable originality and
Distillection		sophistication in putting forward persuasive and well-supported
		new ideas, or making unexpected connections.
	80-85	Answers receiving marks in this range will be of consistently
	80-83	excellent quality across all criteria, and will be both distinctive and
		thought-provoking in their argument and/or approach. Answers
		will be above and beyond the examiner's expectations of an Oxford finalist.
	75.70	
	75-79	Answers will be of the highest quality that an examiner might
		reasonably expect from a candidate within the time constraints
		inherent in the exercise. Although there may be some limitations
		in terms of scope and originality relative to responses which
		receive 80+, answers will be excellent overall, and be characterised
		by sophisticated engagement with the issues, real analytical depth,
		factual precision and detail, and independence of argument, as
		well as strong, incisive engagement with evidence and historical
		debate, and clarity and coherence of presentation.
	70-74	First Class marks should be awarded to answers that are
		consistently impressive across all criteria, and that show strong
		knowledge, analytical skills, and judgement indicating a highly able
		undergraduate historian. Answers in this range will make a clear,
		detailed, and fully-supported argument, demonstrate nuance, and
		be thoughtfully constructed. Compared to responses awarded
		marks of 75+, they may be less imaginative in their handling of the
		question, less wide-ranging in scope and/or achieve less depth of
		detail, and be structured in a way which is effective and efficient
		rather than impressive and incisive.
FHS: II.1	65-69	Answers in the upper-2:1 range will be of above average quality
Prelim:Pass		across all criteria, and very clearly so where marks just below 70
		are awarded. They must exhibit some essential features:
		addressing the question directly and relevantly across a good
		range of issues; offering a clear argument involving consideration
		of alternative interpretations; and substantiating their argument
		with accurate use of relevant evidence and contextualization in
		historical debate, within a structure which has been well thought
		through. They will, though, fall short of First Class quality because
		their handling of some of the material is uneven, because the
		writing loses focus or momentum at times, or because the analysis

Г		T
		is good rather than genuinely impressive. Essays which are very competent but which lack distinctive qualities in terms of argument and analysis may be placed at the lower end of this band.
	60-64	Answers which the examiners consider to be of average quality across most criteria should be placed in this band. The candidate must show consistent competence by answering the question, demonstrating sound analytical skills based upon a good level of knowledge, and a discernible level of argument, prioritisation and problematisation. Answers will show many similar characteristics to those of above average quality, but will tend to exhibit less ambition, range, depth, precision, knowledge and perhaps clarity.
FHS: II.2	50-59	Answers toward the top of the 2:2 band will be of reasonable
Prelim:Pass		quality, showing some specific knowledge and attention to the question that has been asked, and will otherwise be competent across at least some of the criteria. Lower 2:2 answers will cover fewer of the criteria, and/or do so less competently. They may talk around the question rather than answering it, or they may seem to be answering a different question than the one set. They will nonetheless exhibit some positive qualities in their presentation of evidence and analysis. Answers will be put in this band if there is limited focus on the specifics of the question, and if there is minimal, undeveloped argument or very limited or inaccurate use of evidence. Answers may have a 'by numbers' quality, fail to identify specific evidence — or misunderstand the evidence in a way that is manifest — be either too narrow or too general, or be characterised by unsubstantiated assertion rather than argument based on evidence. Answers which are too short or written in a very unclear way are also likely to fall into this band.
FHS: III	40-49	Answers will cover only some of the criteria, and/or will do so only
Prelim:Pass		very partially, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities required, such as the ability to see the point of the question, to deploy information, or to offer some elements of an argument. Such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently, and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor organization and presentation.
FHS: Pass	30-39	These marks will be used very rarely, for scripts that display almost
Prelim:Fail		no knowledge or understanding of the salient issues and which fail
(Retake)		to cover any of the criteria. They will be marred by high levels of
		factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of
		information, and poor organization and presentation.
FHS: Fail	<30	Scripts will fail to exhibit any of the required qualities.
		Candidates who fail to observe rubrics and rules beyond what the
		marking-schemes allow for may also be failed.

The following criteria will be used in marking all theses and extended essays:

Engagement:

- identification and definition of a problem
- location in historiographical context
- range of issues addressed
- depth, complexity and sophistication of comprehension of issues and implications

Argument:

- coherence, control, independence and relevance of argument to problem
- conceptual and analytical precision
- clarity and sophistication of development of argument
- flexibility: discussion of a variety of views

Evidence:

- use of primary material
- sophistication of methods of research
- range of material deployed
- relevance of information deployed
- understanding of historical debate
- depth, precision, detail and accuracy of evidence cited

Organization & Presentation

- clarity and coherence of structure
- clarity and fluency of prose
- correctness of grammar, spelling, and punctuation
- correctness of apparatus and form of footnotes & bibliography

These criteria inform the following mark bands (in what follows, 'theses' encompasses both essays and theses):

I	86-100	Theses will be so outstanding for their originality and sophistication that they could be immediately published.
	80-85	Theses will excel across the range of the criteria, and will be both distinctive and thought-provoking in their argument and/or use of evidence. They will be above and beyond the examiner's expectations of an Oxford finalist.
	75-79	Theses will be of the highest quality that an examiner might reasonably expect from a candidate within the constraints inherent in the exercise. They will be excellent in their combination of quality of problemidentification and research-design, range and sophistication of engagement with historiographical context, coherence, clarity and relevance of argument, and quality of primary evidence adduced.
	70-74	First Class marks should be awarded to theses that are consistently impressive across all criteria of conceptualisation, argument and

		evidence. Such work may combine truly outstanding performance on
		some criteria with high competence that would otherwise merit upper-II:1 marks on other criteria.
II.1	65-69	Theses in the upper-II:1 range will be highly competent across all criteria, and very clearly so where marks just below 70 are awarded. They must exhibit some essential features, identifying a clear problem in historiographical context, and offering a coherent argument based on accurate primary evidence found in research, the whole being clearly presented. Nevertheless, additional strengths (for instance the range of issues addressed, the sophistication of the arguments, or the range and depth of research and information) may compensate for other weaknesses.
	60-64	Theses which the examiners consider to be of average quality across most criteria should be placed in this band. They will be consistently competent and should manifest the essential features described above, in that they must offer an argument in response to a clearly-identified problem based on evidence acquired in research; but they will do so with less range, depth, precision and perhaps clarity. Again, qualities of a higher order may compensate for some weaknesses.
II.2	50-59	Theses toward the top of the II:2 band will be of reasonable quality, showing some solid competence in meeting the criteria, though also some deficiencies. Lower II:2 theses will meet fewer of the criteria, and/or do so less competently. Theses in this band may be marked by inadequate definition of the problem or lack of historiographical context, failure to offer a clear argument, narrowness in the range of issues addressed, lack of research and primary evidence or irrelevance in its deployment, or poor organization and presentation, including incorrect prose and inadequate apparatus.
III	40-49	Theses will cover only some of the criteria, and/or will do so only very partially, but will exhibit some vestiges of the qualities required, such as the ability to define a problem, to deploy evidence found in research, or to offer some coherent analysis towards an argument. Such qualities will not be displayed at a high level or consistently, and will be marred by irrelevance, incoherence, error and poor organization and presentation. Very short theses which nevertheless have promise may fall into this band.
Pass	30-39	Theses will display a modicum of knowledge or understanding of some points, but will display almost none of the higher qualities described in the criteria, and will not be based on any meaningful research. They will be marred by high levels of factual error and irrelevance, generalization and lack of information, and poor organization and
, I		presentation; and they may be very brief.

3.3 Verification and reconciliation of marks

Each script/item of work is marked independently by two examiners or assessors (sometimes referred to as 'blind double-marking'). The two assessors then discuss each script/item of work and

give it an agreed mark, which should be within the range of their initial marks. If the assessors are unable to agree on a mark, the script/item of work is then referred to a third assessor, who may be an external examiner or an internal examiner on the FHS Board of Examiners with appropriate period expertise.

3.4 Scaling

The Examiners may choose to scale marks where in their academic judgement:

- a) a paper was more difficult or easy than in previous years, and/or
- b) an optional paper was more or less difficult than other optional papers taken by students in a particular year, and/or
- c) a paper has generated a spread of marks which are not a fair reflection of student performance on the University's standard scale for the expression of agreed final marks, i.e. the marks do not reflect the qualitative marks descriptors.

Such scaling is used to ensure that candidates' marks are not advantaged or disadvantaged by any of these situations. In each case, examiners will establish if they have sufficient evidence for scaling. Scaling will only be considered and undertaken after moderation of a paper has been completed, and a complete run of marks for all papers is available.

If it is decided that it is appropriate to use scaling, the examiners will review a sample of papers either side of the classification borderlines to ensure that the outcome of scaling is consistent with academic views of what constitutes an appropriate performance within each class.

Detailed information about why scaling was necessary and how it was applied will be included in the Examiners' report and the algorithms used will be published for the information of all examiners and students.

3.5 Short-weight convention and departure from rubric

A mark of zero shall be awarded for any part or parts of questions that have not been answered by a candidate, but which should have been answered.

Omission of an entire question – the completed questions will be marked, and then the overall mark awarded as the average of those marks multiplied by the fraction of the paper completed (i.e. $\frac{2}{3}$ in the case of one essay missing from a three-essay paper, or some fraction of 12 in the case of a twelve-gobbet Special Subject paper).

Failure to complete an essay or question in full – the assessor will mark the question on its merits (factoring in its brevity) and calculate an average mark as usual from all the questions attempted.

All short-weight cases will be reviewed by the Board and the comment sheets will be scrutinised to ensure that all cases are being treated consistently.

Departure from rubric: where a candidate has failed to answer a compulsory question, or failed to answer the required number of questions in different sections, the complete script will be marked and the issue flagged. The board of examiners will consider all such cases so that consistent penalties are applied.

3.6 i) Penalties for late or non-submission of theses, extended essays or portfolio/take-home essays

The scale of penalties agreed by the board of examiners in relation to late submission of assessed items is set out below. Details of the circumstances in which such penalties might apply can be found in the Examination Regulations (Regulations for the Conduct of University Examinations, Part 14.)

Lateness	Cumulative mark penalty
After the deadline but submitted on the same day	-5 marks
	(- 5 percentage points)
Each additional calendar day	-1 mark
	(- 1 percentage point)
Max. deducted marks up to 14 days late	-18 marks
	(- 18 percentage points)
More than 14 calendar days after the notice of non- submission	Fail

Failure to submit a required element of assessment will result in the failure of the whole Second Public Examination.

Penalties will only be applied after the work has been marked and the Exam Board has checked whether there are any valid reasons for late submission.

3.7 Penalties for over-length work and departure from approved titles or subject-matter in theses, extended essays or portfolio essays

The Board has agreed the following tariff of marks to be deducted for over-length work:

Percentage by which the maximum	Penalty
word limit is exceeded	(up to a maximum of -10)
Up to 5%	-1 mark
Over 5% and up to 10%	-2 marks
Over 10% and up to 15%	-3 marks
Each further 5%	-1 further mark

3.8 Penalties for poor academic practice

The Examination Board shall deal wholly with cases of poor academic practice where the material under review is small and does not exceed 10% of the whole.

Assessors should mark work on its academic merit with the board responsible for deducting marks for derivative or poor referencing.

Determined by the extent of the poor academic practice, the Board shall deduct between 1% and 10% of the marks available for cases of poor referencing where material is widely available; factual information or a technical description that could not be paraphrased easily; where passages draw on a variety of sources, either verbatim or derivative, in patchwork fashion (and examiners consider that this represents poor academic practice rather than an attempt to deceive); where some attempt has been made to provide references, however incomplete (e.g. footnotes but no quotation marks, Harvard-style references at the end of a paragraph, inclusion in bibliography); or where passages are 'grey literature' i.e. a web source with no clear owner.

Candidates are reminded that it **is not permissible** to submit work which has previously been submitted, either partially or in full, either for their current Honour School or qualification, or for another Honour School or qualification of this University (except where the Special Regulations for the subject permit this), or for a qualification at any other institution. Students are advised that **copying text** straight from notes into their submissions is **highly inadvisable**. Use of material such as notes, essays or Collections answers written by someone else or jointly composed with someone else **is not permissible** and will put students at risk of inadvertently committing academic misconduct such as collusion or plagiarism and render them liable to the maximum penalty for poor academic practice. Unacknowledged direct quotations from books or articles copied from notes will equally face penalties for plagiarism.

If a student has previously had marks deducted for poor academic practice or has been referred to the Proctors for suspected plagiarism the case must always be referred to the Proctors. Also, where the deduction of marks results in a failure of the assessment and of the programme, the case must be referred to the Proctors.

In addition, any more serious cases of poor academic practice than those described above should also always be referred to the Proctors.

If the material affected concerns more than 10% of the whole piece of work or more than poor academic practice, the Chair must refer the case to the Proctors, summarising the extent and seriousness of the plagiarism and including the relevant sources.

Turnitin is fully integrated into Inspera and full similarity reports will be available on each submission. These reports will not be available to assessors marking submissions, but are available for consideration by the Exam Boards. The Exam Boards will refer to them in cases where assessors have flagged submissions as suspicious.

3.9 Penalties for non-attendance

Failure to attend an examination will result in the failure of the whole Second Public Examination.

4. Progression rules and classification conventions

4.1 Qualitative descriptors of classes

[See descriptors and mark bands under item 3.2 above.]

4.2 i) Classification rules

In the FHS of History, Ancient and Modern History, History and Economics, History and English, and

History and Politics, each item in the assessment is given equal weight, and counts as one paper (including the compulsory undergraduate thesis). In the FHS of History and Modern Languages, each item of assessment is given equal weight and counts as one paper with the exception of the Oral examination, which counts as 0.5 of a paper. For candidates in FHS History and joint schools who have taken the Optional Additional Thesis the mark will replace the lowest mark in a History paper, provided that no mark is below 50.

Classification in FHS 2023 will normally be on the basis of the following assessment elements:

- in History: 7 papers of 7 assessed, viz. (a) pre-submitted: History of the British Isles**, Special Subject Extended Essay, a Thesis from Original Research (b) examined by timed written exam papers: European and World History, Further Subject, Disciplines of History, Special Subject gobbets papers. * These rules may change if there is a further lockdown requiring the closure of the libraries or cancellation of invigilated papers. **FHS 2023 returning candidates who were unable to submit their British History essays in 2020 or 2021 have the option to submit a portfolio of three tutorial essays. If they choose not to submit this element they will be classified on the other six papers; if they do choose to submit it they will be classified on the six highest marks, provided no mark is below 50.
- In Ancient and Modern History: 7 papers of 7 assessed, viz. (a) pre-submitted: History of the British Isles (if taken), Special Subject Extended Essay (if taken), a Thesis from Original Research; (b) examined by timed written exams: European and World History, Ancient History period paper, Further Subject, Ancient History Special Subject essay paper (if taken), Disciplines of History, History Special Subject gobbets paper. * These rules may change if there is a further lockdown requiring the closure of the libraries or cancellation of invigilated papers. If an Ancient Language paper is taken as an optional additional paper, the mark will substitute for the lowest mark in another paper provided that that mark is 50 or above; but if an Ancient Language paper is taken as one of the seven papers assessed there will be no substitution of marks.
- in History and English: 7 papers of 7 assessed. * These rules may change if there is a further lockdown requiring the closure of the libraries or cancellation of invigilated papers.
- in History and Modern Languages: 9.5 or 10.5 assessed papers. * These rules may change
 if there is a further lockdown requiring the closure of the libraries or cancellation of
 invigilated papers.
- in History and Politics: 7 papers of 7 assessed. * These rules may change if there is a further lockdown requiring the closure of the libraries or cancellation of invigilated papers.
- in History and Economics: 8 papers of 8 assessed. * These rules may change if there is a further lockdown requiring the closure of the libraries or cancellation of invigilated papers.

Classification in History and all joint schools

The Humanities Division has agreed the following rules for classification in undergraduate programmes:

First: Normal Route	Average mark of 68.5 or greater; marks of 70 or above
	on at least TWO papers; no mark below 50 on any paper.

First: Alternative route (ARF):	The average mark must be 67.5 or greater; marks of 70 or above on at least 50% of the papers; no mark below 50 on any paper.
Upper Second:	Average mark of 59 or greater. Marks of 60 or above on at least two papers. No mark below 40 on any paper.
Lower Second:	Average mark of 49.5 or greater. Marks of 50 or above on at least two papers. No mark below 30 on any paper.
Third:	Average mark of 40 or greater. Marks below 30 on no more than one paper.
Pass:	Average mark of 30 or greater. Marks below 30 on no more than two papers.

Before finally confirming its classifications, the Examining Board may take such steps as it considers appropriate to reconsider the cases of candidates whose marks are very close to a borderline, or in some way anomalous, and to satisfy themselves that the candidates concerned are correctly classified in accordance with the criteria specified in these Conventions.

In the joint school of History and Modern Languages:

For the purposes of establishing the average, the mark on the oral examination, if it is expressed out of 100, shall be halved. The total of marks on all papers shall then be divided by 9.5 (or 10.5).

To attain a First by the above method, a candidate must obtain at least one mark of 70 or above in a content paper (i.e. a History or a literature paper).

To attain a First by the Alternative Route to a First, at least 50% of the papers must have a mark of 70 or above (discounting the mark on the oral examination), and the average mark must be 67.5 or greater.

Before finally confirming its classifications, the Examining Board may take such steps as it considers appropriate to reconsider the cases of candidates whose marks are very close to a borderline, or in some way anomalous, and to satisfy themselves that the candidates concerned are correctly classified in accordance with the criteria specified in these conventions.

4. 2. ii) Declared to Have Deserved Honours

A Declared to have Deserved Honours Degree is an unclassified undergraduate degree. A Declared to have Deserved Foundation Certificate/Undergraduate Certificate/Diploma/Advanced Diploma have been introduced this year and are also available. These are collectively referred to as DDH. It will be awarded when a student has been unable to complete their summative assessments for legitimate reasons, and the examination board is satisfied that they would have been likely to have

obtained an Honours degree (or a pass degree for the other awards) had they been able to complete their assessments.

While the formal awards will be unclassified, transcripts will be adapted to explain the unusual pandemic circumstances behind them, and will be accompanied by an enhanced reference letter indicating the class of degree the student was expected to achieve, as far as this can be determined by the available evidence. For further information about the DDH see:

Declared awards | University of Oxford

4.3 Progression rules

No candidate shall be admitted to the Final Honour School of History of the joint schools with History unless they have *either* passed or been exempted from the First Public Examination *or* have successfully completed the Foundation Course in History at the Department of Continuing Education *or* have Senior Student status.

5. Assessment of options taken from another programme

In taking an option from another faculty or department, students are to follow the assessment requirements of the faculty or department delivering that option.

6. Resits

It is not permitted to resit any papers in the Final Honour School of History or its joint schools.

7. Mitigating Circumstances

Candidates may make a submission under Part 13 of the Regulations for Conduct of University Examinations, that unforeseen circumstances may have had an impact on their performance in an examination. A subset of the board (the 'Mitigating Circumstances Panel') will meet to discuss the individual applications and band the seriousness of each application on a scale of 1-3 with 1 indicating minor impact, 2 indicating moderate impact, and 3 indicating very serious impact. The Panel will evaluate, on the basis of the information provided to it, the relevance of the circumstances to examinations and assessment, and the strength of the evidence provided in support. Examiners will also note whether all or a subset of papers were affected, being aware that it is possible for circumstances to have different levels of impact on different papers. The banding information will be used at the final board of examiners meeting to decide whether and how to adjust a candidate's results.

Submitting an MCE

Before submitting your notice you can seek advice from your college office. You should carefully read the guidance for students on the <u>Consideration of mitigating circumstances by examiners</u>. For technical instructions on submitting an MCE notice please refer to the <u>Student self service manual</u>, page 54.

- Students can now submit mitigating circumstances notices to examiners directly in Student Self Service. You can also submit an MCE via your college, if you need the MCE to be raised on your behalf.
- You are encouraged to submit one main MCE covering all papers affected for each University Examination, however you can submit a further MCE if new circumstances have arisen since you submitted your first.
- You should submit a statement in support of your MCE to explain in a clear and concise
 manner how your performance in assessment has been impacted (either in the box provided
 or as an attachment) along with supporting evidence. Evidence could include medical
 certificates or letters, statements from college officers or tutors, statements from a
 counsellor or other support person. Any additional information should be uploaded as
 supporting documentation (2MB file limit per document). Please make sure that any
 supporting documentation submitted with your notice is not password protected as this will
 prevent your notice from being processed.
- Your College Office can submit an MCE on your behalf but you will need to supply them with your statement and supporting evidence.
- Notices should be submitted as soon as possible after completing the affected assessments and must be received prior to noon on the day before the exam board.
- The examiners will consider your mitigating circumstances and any supporting documentation.
- You will be able to view the outcome of your MCE via the results screen in Student Self Service when your year outcome has been released.

8. Details of examiners and rules on communicating with examiners

FHS Board of Examiners in History 2023
Stephen Baxter
Erica Charters
Christina de Bellaigue
Ian Forrest
David Hopkin (Secretary)
Marc Mulholland
Jon Parkin
Grant Tapsell (Chair)
Stephen Tuffnell

Hugh Doherty (External, University of East Anglia) Michael Braddick (External, University of Sheffield) Clare Griffiths (External, University of Cardiff) Trevor Burnard (External, University of Hull)

Candidates should not under any circumstances seek to make contact with individual internal or external examiners.

APPENDIX A

1. Gibbs Prizes

The following History prizes may be available for the examiners to award:

GIBBS PRIZE

Best performance in the main School of History

GIBBS PRIZE

Best performance in History papers in the Joint Schools with History

• GIBBS PRIZE (PROXIME ACCESSIT)

Next best performance in the main School of History

• GIBBS PRIZE (PROXIME ACCESSIT)

Next best performance in History papers in the Joint Schools with History

• GIBBS 2017 PRIZE

Best performance by a candidate admitted under the provisions of the Access/Widening Participation/Opportunity Oxford initiatives begun in 2017

GIBBS PRIZE BOOK AWARD

Excellent performance in History and its Joints Schools

2. Thesis Prizes

 ARNOLD MODERN HISTORICAL PRIZE Best thesis in modern history (i.e. post AD 285)

• GLADSTONE PRIZE

Best thesis on recent British history, especially in relation to politics or finance

• KIRK-GREENE PRIZE

Best thesis on modern African History

• OLWEN HUFTON PRIZE

Best thesis on Gender History

WYLIE PRIZE

Best thesis on American History

JOAN THIRSK PRIZE

Best thesis on Medieval History

RICHARD COBB PRIZE

Best thesis on European History

GEORGE RAMSAY PRIZE

Best thesis on Early Modern History

• BARBARA SAVAGE PRIZE

Best thesis on Black History

• HERMILA GALINDO PRIZE

Best thesis on Latin American History

(All the above are awarded by the FHS Board of Examiners)

HERBERT PRIZE

Best thesis on Imperial/Commonwealth History (Awarded by the Beit Fund Management Trust)

JANE WILLIS KIRKALDY JUNIOR PRIZE
 Best thesis on the History of Science, Technology or Medicine
 (Awarded by the Jane Willis Kirkaldy Prize Fund Board)